



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re P	atent Application of)	
Kazua	ki YOSHIDA) (Group Art Unit: 1752
Applic	eation No.: 10/621,360)) 1	Examiner: Hoa Van Le
Filed:	July 18, 2003)	Confirmation No.: 2561
For:	CONCENTRATED COMPOSITION OF BLIX SOLUTION FOR SILVER HALIDE COLOR PHOTOGRAPHIC PHOTOSENSITIVE MATERIAL)))	

RESPONSE TO QUAYLE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Quayle Action dated October 14, 2004, Applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner's refusal to rejoin dependent method claims 6-12 is contrary to the explicit provisions set forth in MPEP §821.04. As clearly stated in this section of the MPEP:

Where product and process claims drawn to independent and distinct inventions are presented in the same application, applicant may be called upon under 35 U.S.C. §121 to elect claims to either the product or process. See MPEP §806.05(f) and §806.05(h). The claims to the nonelected invention will be withdrawn from further consideration under 37 C.F.R. §1.142. See MPEP §809.02(c) and §821 through §821.03. However, if applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims which depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined.

Indeed, even if there are no process claims originally in the application, if they are subsequently added in a timely manner, they must be considered as is evident from the further quote from this section of the MPEP which reads as follows:

Process claims which depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance.

Application No. <u>10/621,360</u> Attorney's Docket No. 019519-398

Page 2

In the present situation, the process claims were originally present in the application

and all are directly or indirectly dependent from allowed product claim 1 (the concentrated

blix solution composition). Therefore, in accordance with the stated rejoinder provisions, the

process claims must be rejoined pursuant to Applicant's request set forth in the response dated

September 27, 2004. It is noted the rejoinder would also be consistent with the Examiner's

actions in allowing commonly assigned application Serial No. 10/622,534.

As an additional matter, a computer generated translation of Japanese Patent

Document No. 11-288068 was submitted in an Information Disclosure Statement also filed

on September 27, 2004, and acknowledged in the Quayle Action. While the translation of the

description is believed to provide an understanding of the document, provided herewith is a

translation of the Tables from the document for the Examiner's convenience and

consideration and to complete the record.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to rejoin claims 6-12 and to formally allow the

present application.

Should the Examiner wish to discuss any aspect of the present application, he

is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

Date: November 12, 2004

Registration No. 28,531

P.O. Box 1404

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404

(703) 836-6620



JP-A-11-288068

(Table 1)

Type of Additive	RD 17643	RD 18716	RD 307105
1. Chemical sensitizer	P. 23	P. 648, right	P. 866
		column	
2. Sensitivity Enhancer		P. 648, right	
		column	
3. Spectral sensitizer,	PP. 23 to 24	P. 648, right	Pp. 866 to 868
Super-sensitizer		column to P.	
	:	649, right	
		column	
4. Whitening agent	P. 24	P. 647, right	P. 868
		column	
5. Light absorbing agent,	PP. 25 to 26	P. 649, right	P. 873
Filter dye,		column to P.	
UV-absorber		650, left	
		column	
6. Binder	P. 26	p. 651, left	PP. 873 to 874
		column	·
Plasticizer,	P. 27	P. 650, right	P. 876
Lubricant		column	
8. Coating aid,	PP. 26 to 27	P. 650, right	PP. 875 to 876
Surfactant		column	
9. Antistatic agent	P. 27	P. 650, right	PP. 876 to 877
		column	
10. Matting agent			PP. 878 to 879

(Table 2)

Increase in v	visua	al density	Color developer composition					
			A	В	С	D	E	F
		Density	1.133	1.152	1.152	1.183	1.298	1.350
Bleaching	a	1.135	0.050	0.032	0.032	0.028	0.032	0.089
agent	<u>d</u>	1,152	0.045	0.009	0.009	0.005	0.005	0.080
composition	c	1.153	0.044	0.009	0.008	0.005	0.006	0.078
	d	1.185	0.045	0.007	0.007	0.003	0.004	0.066
	e	1.295	0.055	0.012	0.013	0.007	0.007	0.088
	f	1.340	0.085	0.072	0.072	0.071	0.078	0.092

The present invention is within the above frame

(Table 3)

Vessel No.	Average Thickness (mm)	Density at Unexposed Area (Dmin _B)	Maximum Density (Dmax _D)	Note
	0.2	0.019	2.10	Example of the present invention
2	0.3	0.009	2.10	Preferred embodiment of the present invention
3	0.5	0.008	2.10	Same as above
4	0.7	0.008	2.10	Same as above
5	0.9	0.008	2.05	Example of the present invention

(Table 4)

Sample No.	<1>	<2>	<3>	<4>	<5>
Potassium acetate (g/liter)	0	16	55	159	237
Specific gravity	1.138	1.150	1.180	1.260	1.320
Residual	73	90	92	89	72
State of fluid	Brown: (dense)	Pale yellow (transparent)	Pale yellow (transparent)	Pale_yellow: (transparent)	Foreign, oily flotage
Note	Comparative Example	The present invention	The present invention	The present invention	Comparative Example

(Table 5)

Sample	Additive		Temporal stability		
No.	Compound	(g/liter)	Residual ratio of preservative (%)	Background density increment (ΔD _B)	
<6>	-	-	85	0.01	
<7>	Triisopropanolamine	(30)	91	0.003	
<8>	Diethanolamine	(30)	89	0.005	
<9>	Polyethylene glycol, Mol. Wt. = 100	(30)	87	0.007	

<10>	Polyethylene glycol, Mol. Wt. = 400	(30)	89	0.005
<11>	Polyethylene glycol, Mol. Wt. = 1500	(30)	88	0.008
<12>	Triisopropanolamine, Polyethylene glycol Mol. Wt. = 400	(30)	92	0.001